

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/803,019	03/17/2004	Lawrence C. Gross JR.	P-1653-041	5128
23605 FLOYD E. IVE	7590 06/15/200 TV	EXAMINER		
IVEY Law Offices, P.S. Corp. 7233 W. Deschutes Ave., Suite C BOX #3			NGUYEN, PHUNG HOANG JOSEPH	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
KENNEWICK, WA 99336			2614	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/15/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Notice of Abandonment	10/803,019	GROSS ET AL.	
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit	
	PHUNG-HOANG J. NGUYEN	2614	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress
This application is abandoned in view of:			
. ☑ Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of M	Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the	expiration of the
period for reply (including a total extension of time of	month(s)) which expired on		

Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on <u>01 October 2008</u>.
 A reply was received on _____ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated _____), which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of ______ on ______), which expired on ________.
 (b) ______ A proposed reply was received on _________, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection.
 (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114).

(c) A reply was received on _____ but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).

(d) No reply has been received.

 Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if 	f applicable, within the statutory period of three months
from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).	

(a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on ______ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated ______, which is after the expraision of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).
 (b) The submitted fee of \$_____ is insufficient. A balance of \$_____ is due.

The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$____. The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$____.

(c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.

 Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37).

(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on _____ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated _____), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.

(b) No corrected drawings have been received.

4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.

 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.

6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on ____ and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.

7. The reason(s) below:

Examiner talked to Attorney Floyd Ivey inquiring the status of the case on 5/12/09. He said he would call back. Never called back

/CURTIS KUNTZ/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2614 /Phung-Hoang J Nguyen/ Examiner, Art Unit 2614

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.